

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | | <u> </u> | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/889,687 | 01/25/2002 | Gyula Dekany | 267979-0002US | 7123 |
| | 590 06/17/2003 | | | |
| Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe 275 Middlefield Road | | | EXAMINER | |
| Menlo Park, CA 94025-3506 | | | KHARE, DEVESH | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1623 DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003 | |
| | | | | \mathcal{T} |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/889,687 | DEKANY ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Devesh Khare | 1623 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | 1 | | | |
| Period fo | or Reply | | • | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | — · s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | , | | rosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers OND The enceification is objected to by the Everyiner | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| | a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | |
| | 2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents | | ion No. <i>PCT/AU00/00025</i> . | | | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. | | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 12-22 are currently pending in this application.

35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- (A) In the absence of the specific moieties intended to effectuate modification by "substitution" or attachment to the chemical core claimed, the term "substituted" in all occurrences renders the claims in which it appears indefinite wherein applicant fails to articulate by chemical name, structural formula or sufficiently distinct functional language, the particular moieties applicant regards as those which will facilitate substitution, requisite to identifying the compound of matter claimed.
- (B) In the absence of the specific derivatizations to the "sugar analogue" core or distinct language to describe the structural modifications or the chemical names "sugar analogue" in all occurrences of this invention, the identity of said derivatives would be difficult to describe and the metes and bounds of said derivatives applicants regard as the invention cannot be sufficiently determined because they have not been particularly pointed out or distinctly articulated in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,687

Art Unit: 1623

(C) The phrase, in claim 12, "universal monosaccharide", is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Applicant should consistently set forth the identity of the universal monosaccharide.

- (D) Claim 12, lines 10 & 12 recites phrases "may only be" or "can be" renders the claim indefinite, it is unclear as to whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. The use of term "is" in place of "may" or "can" is more favorably considered.
- (E) The term "sets" of protecting groups are not defined in all occurrences renders the claims in which it appears indefinite.
- (F) In claim 16, line 7, the term "amongst" is superfluous and should be deleted.
- (G) Claim 17 is rejected as being incomplete for omitting essential steps of the synthesis, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps.

Claims which depend from an indefinite claim which fail to obviate the indefiniteness of the claim from which they depend are also seen to be indefinite and are also rejected for the reasons set forth supra.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper

Application/Control Number: 09/889,687

Art Unit: 1623

definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (EP 578,112).

Yamada et al. disclose a monosaccharide derivative of General Formula II where A is a leaving group at anomeric center represented by the group –SR (R is alkyl) on page 5, compound 1,page 8, compound 33 and page 7, formula III. In Examples 1 and 2 (pages 13 & 14), a method of synthesis of glycoconjugates of thio-β-D-glucopyranoside is disclosed. Also, in claims 5 and 7, a method of synthesis of a disaccharide of formula II from thioglycoside is disclosed. In the absence of the defined protecting group sets 1,2, 6 and 8 in claims 12 -22, the Yamada et al. reference is encompassed by the applicants claims.

State of the Art References

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/889,687

Art Unit: 1623

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Kahne et al. (U.S. Patent 6,040,433)- discloses the sulfinyl hexose derivatives.

Wong et al. (U.S. Patent 6,538,117)- discloses the reactivity of p-methylphenyl thioglycoside derivatives.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (703)605-

1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at 703-308-4624. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,JD(3Y). Art Unit 1623 June 13,2003 JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600